

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,009	12/10/2003	George Edward Berkey	BERKEY 47-9-6B 7486	
22928 75	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED SP-TI-3-1			ROSASCO, STEPHEN D	
CORNING, N	Y 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1756	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/733,009	BERKEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen Rosasco	1756			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2003.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	_					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 87-94 and 102-104 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 87-94 and 102-104 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 December 2003</u> is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/733,009

Art Unit: 1756

Detailed Action

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 87-94 and 102-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pluijms (4,746,345) in view of Narasimham (4,941,905) and Uno et al. (6,240,235).

The claimed invention is directed to a method of making a glass blank, said method comprising: providing a longitudinal glass tube, providing a longitudinal mold having a flat sided polygonal shape, positioning said longitudinal glass tube proximate said longitudinal mold, heating said longitudinal glass tube, applying a deforming fluid pressure to said heated longitudinal glass tube wherein said glass tube deforms and conforms to said mold, cooling said deformed glass tube to provide a flat sided polygonal shaped glass tube, and cutting a flat side from said flat sided polygonal shaped glass tube.

And wherein providing a longitudinal glass tube further includes providing a SiO2 soot tube and consolidating the soot tube into a glass.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,009

Art Unit: 1756

Pluijms et al. teach a method of manufacturing solid glass preforms, said method comprising the steps of: providing a hollow tubular glass preform having a length such that its central axis is arranged horizontally with respect to gravity; heating the tubular preform at a heating zone in order to collapse a portion of the tubular preform at the heating zone; and moving the heating zone along the length of the tubular preform until the entire tubular preform is collapsed into a solid glass preform; wherein the step of heating the tubular preform comprises the step of contacting the tubular preform with a plasma symmetrically surrounding the preform and generated in a plasma gas outside the preform via a plasma generating means, the plasma being generated by an alternating electric field having a frequency less than substantially 12 mhz but sufficiently high to be able to ignite and maintain a plasma in the plasma gas such that the space between the plasma generating means and the tubular preform remains substantially filled with plasma during substantially the entire collapsing of the tubular preform.

The teachings of Pluijms differ from those of the applicant in that the applicant teaches providing a longitudinal glass SiO2 soot tube and consolidating the soot tube into a glass.

Narasimham teaches methods of soot overcladding an optical perform, and more particularly, methods for sintering a boule, which has been deposited about a substrate rod by coupling microwave energy to the substrate rod.

Uno et al. teach the use of a polygon shaped glass tube in manufacturing.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,009 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

Therfore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to take the teachings of Pluijms and combine them with the teachings of Narasimham and Uno et al. in order to make the claimed invention because the use of a polygonal shaped tube and the coating with SIO2 soot are well known in the art and to make a flat sided blank a flat sided perform is obviously required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Rosasco whose telephone number is 571-272-1389. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

For general Information call (571-272-1700).

S. Rosasco Primary Examiner

Craser

Art Unit 1756

S.Rosasco 5/10/04